## Satisfaction Assessment for Graduate Students Academic Year 2018 Ph.D. in Computer Science (International Program) Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Science Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai Campus

1. This form below is a satisfaction assessment and suggestions for students toward the Ph.D. program. All the last year students please kindly fill the form in which the program provides proper learning processes and adequate resources support the studying by the program requirements. The program will be adjusted and improved by this assessment and stakeholder assessment.

There are 3 parts including:

Part 1: Student information

Part 2: Satisfaction assessment toward the program

Part 3: Suggestions to improve the program

2. Please score satisfaction level 1 – 5 and -1 to -5 (-1 is the least dissatisfaction and -5 is the most dissatisfaction)

## Part 1: Student information

1.	Gender	🗹 Male	2 person	🗹 Female	3 person								
2.	Study level	🗹 PhD	5 person	person									
3.	How long have you been studying?												
	🗹 1 year	2 person	🗹 3 years	3 person									
4.	Do you know the expected learning outcome (ELOs) for your degree?												
	✓ Yes 5 person												
5.	Do you know the program structure and content?												
	Yes 5 person												
6.	Which channels would you like to keep contact with the faculty after graduation? (can												
	be more than one)												
	🗹 E-mail	5 person	🗹 LINE	3 person									
	☑ Facebook	5 person	🗹 Others (pleas	se identify) <u>phone</u>	1 person								

## Part 2: Satisfaction assessment toward the curriculum

Please select (  $\checkmark$  ) the right score by the level of satisfaction

The satisfaction level is between 1 and 5 (1 is the least satisfaction and 5 is the most satisfaction)

The dissatisfaction level is between -1 and -5 (-1 is the least dissatisfaction and -5 is the most dissatisfaction)

Assessment Issues		Satisfaction					*	Dissatisfaction			1	Average	
		5	4	3	2	1		-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	score
1. Teaching and Learning													
1)	Program structure is appropriate in real life	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.60
2)	Elective subjects are relevant to the curriculum	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.80
3)	Students are part of teaching and learning e.g. giving feedback to courses	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.00
4)	Teaching and learning process promotes students studying intensively	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.80
5)	Provide related extracurricular activities to the course	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.20
6)	Provide study method related to the curriculum objective and philosophy	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.60
7)	Provide a study plan entirely the program definitely clear	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.80
8)	Program is up-to-date correspond to real-world market	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.20
2. Lecturers													
1)	Have knowledge and expertise in the field	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.80
2)	Have a teaching and preparation	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.80
3)	Have an ability to explain and convey knowledge	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.80
4)	Teaching is followed in the course objectives and content	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.00
5)	Measurement and assessment meets objectives and covers the subject matter	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.00
6)	Have the moral and ethics of the lecturers	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.00

Assessment Issues		Satisfaction					*	Dissatisfaction					Average
		5	4	3	2	1		-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	score
7	7) Acceptance of opinions and criticisms	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.00
	of students.												
8	8) Personality fit for teacher	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.00
9	9) Teaching in a variety of ways and	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.00
	focusing on the learner is important.												
3.	3. Assessment												
	1) Assessment methods are in line with	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.60
	the objectives and activities of the												
course													
	2) The measurement and evaluation is in	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.80
	accordance with the regulations of the												
	courses												
	3) Measurement and evaluation are	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.60
	effective and fair												
Overall Average score									4.77				

## Part 3: Suggestions to improve the curriculum

- 3.1 Do you have any suggestions for how to improve your curriculum? There are activities that are related to research interests.
- 3.2 Do you want any additional courses in order to prepare you for graduation? None
- 3.3 What strengths do you think the courses have?Strong theoretical courses.Lecturers have knowledge in their research field.Those support student(s) in research and can apply to real life.
- 3.4 What are the main characteristics of students who graduated from PSU? None